Interview Summary	Application N	lo. Applicant(s)		
	09/888,912		CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Yvette C. Thor	nton	1752	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Yvette C. Thornton</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Thomas McNally</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 25 November 2003.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		,	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>5</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Stolka US 4117239.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The attorney was called because claim 5 failed to overcome the prior art rejection of Stolka</u> . The attorney proposed amending claim 5 to its previous form and submitting a new claim 25. The examiner agreed to make the change via examiner's amendment.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
				;
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	E.	xaminer's signa	ture, if required	